



## THE RISK MANAGEMENT QUARTERLY

### ARTICLE PEER REVIEW FORM

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Article Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

Please complete this form as fully as possible and return it to the following address no later than: \_\_\_\_\_

**Chairperson –Publications Committee  
AHRMNY, Inc.  
P.O. Box 4200  
Grand Central Station  
New York, NY 10163**

#### What is your publishing recommendation for this article?

**Accept as is**
 **Consider with major revision**  
 **Consider with minor revision**
 **Reject**

#### RATE THE ARTICLE: Please rate the article on a scale of **1 (poor)** to **10 (excellent)** for the following:

#1. Is the topic of particular interest and useful to the audience of the journal?

1    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9    10

#2. Rate the value of the article as a resource to the readers in performing their jobs.

1    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9    10

#3. Does the article present new material/add new slant to present literature on the topic?

1    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9    10    N/A

#4. Are the topic and content timely?

1    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9    10

#5. Is the coverage of the topic technically accurate?

1    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9    10

#6. Is the coverage of the topic substantive?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

#7. Is the style of the article appropriate to its subject matter?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

#8. Is the title of the article accurate and appropriate?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

#9. Rate the organization of the article (i.e., introduction, body, subheads, transitions, conclusion, & references).

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

#10. Rate the timeliness and credibility of the references, if any.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A

#11. Rate the effectiveness of the illustrations / tables / charts, if any.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A

#12. Rate the article for overall need of revision (1=whole sections need to be completely rewritten; 10=editing only is needed (spelling, punctuation)).

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

(Circle one). Does the article present material that is: **basic** or **advanced**?

**EXPLAIN EXACTLY WHAT REVISIONS THE ARTICLE NEEDS.**

Are there points or sections that need to be corrected or clarified? Please explain.

Are there any inaccuracies, unsupported data, or personal biases? If so, where?

Are there any points in need of further discussion? Suggest how to expand.

Are there any sections that should be completely deleted or considerably shortened?

Should any of the illustrations / tables / charts be simplified or clarified? How?

Are any of the illustrations / tables / charts inaccurate? How should they be corrected?

Please explain any other revisions you think the article needs.

**IF YOU RECOMMEND REJECTING THE ARTICLE:**

What problems with the article led you to suggest that it be rejected? Be specific.